

REMARKS

Applicant requests reconsideration of the application.

Advisory Action States:

Claims 1-156 have not been previously canceled as suggested.

Applicant Responds:

The Office Action Summary mailed 5-21-03 indicated claims 54-188 are pending, claims 54-156 are withdrawn from consideration. The response filed 9-23-02, received at the USPTO 9-30-02, stated claims 1-53 were previously cancelled. However, to clarify, Applicant requests claims 1-156 be cancelled.

Advisory Action States:

The amendment and remarks do not correct the confusion as to a “direct connection” in conjunction with the claimed telephone network of the independent claim 157, the claim is written such that a direct connection is not the same as the telephone network connection and as such there are two different connections being claimed, no support for this is provided in the description originally filed and as such the intent is not clear.

Applicant Responds:

On page 37 lines 4 through 7 of the substitute specification states: “The message

center device may be directly connected to a paging terminal eliminating the necessity of a second connection to the telephone network. The paging terminal could be a 'People Finder' paging terminal manufactured by Motorola, Inc."

Claim 157 was further amended for clarity. The last element of claim 157 was written in dependent form as claim 189. This amendment necessitated the changing of dependency of claims 166 and 179.

Advisory Action States:

With respect to claims 166-167, optional data input by the calling party suggested to be supported by figures 4 and 5 and "elsewhere", each and every of these optional forms of data input by the calling party is not clearly seen in the original description.

Applicant Responds:

The claim features are discussed on page 68 line 25 through page 71 line 27 and illustrated in figures 13 through 16.

Advisory Action States:

With respect to claim 183, there is still no clear support for this device as claimed, the suggested figures 7a and 7b do not describe to one of ordinary skill any more than a pager by the circuitry presented therein.

Applicant Responds:

As discussed under the heading “Combined Pager / Radiotelephone Embodiment” on page 42, the claimed feature was disclosed in the incorporated by reference patents.

Advisory Action States:

Claim 184 still has a separate telephone network and a cellular network, the support for two separate networks is not seen in the original disclosure as being used together as the claims suggest.

Applicant Responds:

In addition to the above remarks, additional support is provided by figure 17 and the related discussion thereto on page 72 beginning with line 15.

Conclusion

Applicant has further amended the claims for clarity. The claims in condition for allowance, this action is requested.

October 21, 2003

Respectfully submitted,



Richard K. Robinson (PTO Reg. No. 28,109)

Harry C. Post, III (PTO Reg. No. 26,019)

Attorneys for Applicant

ROBINSON & POST, L.L.P.
12900 Preston Road, LB-41
Dallas, Texas 75230
Tel: (972) 866-7786
Fax: (972) 866-7787